

Dimensional Projection, Measure Concentration, and the Geometric Origin of Quantum Structure

Oussama Basta

January 2026

Abstract

We present a geometric and probabilistic framework in which quantum-mechanical discreteness emerges from the projection of smooth higher-dimensional probability distributions onto lower-dimensional observable subspaces. The theory replaces canonical quantum postulates with axioms based on measure concentration, hyperspherical shell dominance, and projection-induced discontinuities. We derive quantized radial structures, angular degeneracies, hydrogen-like spectra, and Bell-type correlations without invoking wavefunctions, operators, or intrinsic nonlocality. Extensions to relativistic symmetry, path integrals, and experimental falsifiability are provided.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Radial Measure Concentration in High Dimensions	3
2.1	Isotropic Gaussian Distributions	3
2.2	Shell Dominance	3
3	Projection-Induced Discontinuities	3
3.1	Observation as Marginalization	3
3.2	Shell-Crossing Lemma	4
4	Axiomatic Reformulation of Quantum Theory	4
5	Hydrogenic Structure from Five Dimensions	4
5.1	Five-Dimensional Density	4
5.2	Angular Degeneracy and Effective Quantum Numbers	4
5.3	Energy Scaling	5
6	Bell Correlations and Apparent Nonlocality	5
6.1	Shared Shell Constraint	5
6.2	Failure of Bell Factorization	5
7	Escalation Paths	5
7.1	Bell Inequality Reconstruction	5
7.2	Relativistic Extension	5
7.3	Path Integral Reformulation	5
7.4	Experimental Falsifiability	5

8	Conclusion	6
9	Implications for Emergence	6
9.1	Emergence as Dimensional Reduction	6
9.2	Discrete Emergence from Continuous Ontology	6
9.3	Emergence of Classicality	7
9.4	Emergence of Effective Laws	7
9.5	Ontological and Epistemic Emergence	7
9.6	Relation to Reductionism	7
9.7	Summary of Emergent Implications	8
10	The Gamma Function as a Dimensional Measure Operator	8
10.1	General Definition	8
10.2	Gamma Function in Hyperspace	8
10.3	Shell Entropy and Dimensional Weighting	9
10.4	Gamma Function Under Dimensional Projection	9
10.5	Gamma Function in Metaspace	9
10.6	Role in Emergence	9
10.7	Summary	9
11	Gamma Functions, Entropic Curvature, Renormalization, and the Emergence of Time	10
11.1	Gamma Functions as Entropic Curvature Scalars	10
11.2	Gamma Functions and Renormalization Group Flow	10
11.3	Physical Constants as Ratios of Gamma Functions	11
11.4	The Emergence of Time	11
11.5	Time, Renormalization, and Gamma Flow	11
11.6	Summary	11
12	Perturbative Emergence of Relativistic Dynamics at Large Scales	12
12.1	Ontic Description and Perturbative Regime	12
12.2	Projection and Effective Spacetime Coordinates	12
12.3	Perturbative Expansion of the Entropic Action	12
12.4	Emergence of Lorentzian Signature	13
12.5	Relativistic Kinematics as a Large-Scale Limit	13
12.6	Perturbative Origin of Gravitational Effects	13
12.7	Interpretation	13
12.8	Summary	14
13	Entropic Gravity, Cosmological Expansion, and Lorentz Symmetry Bounds	14
13.1	Einstein Equations as an Entropic Variational Principle	14
13.2	Cosmological Expansion as Radial Shell Drift	14
13.3	Cosmological Arrow of Time	15
13.4	Lorentz Symmetry as an Emergent Fixed Point	15
13.5	Lorentz-Violation Bounds	15
13.6	Unification of Scales	15
13.7	Summary	16

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanics exhibits discrete spectra, probabilistic measurement outcomes, and nonclassical correlations. These features are traditionally postulated through Hilbert space axioms, operator spectra, and wavefunction collapse. In this work, we demonstrate that these phenomena arise naturally from classical probability theory in higher-dimensional spaces when observations are restricted to lower-dimensional projections.

The central thesis is that smooth dynamics and continuous probability measures in sufficiently high dimension generate effective discreteness and apparent nonlocality when marginalized or projected. This mechanism is rooted in the concentration of measure phenomenon and the geometry of hyperspherical shells.

2 Radial Measure Concentration in High Dimensions

2.1 Isotropic Gaussian Distributions

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vector distributed according to the isotropic Gaussian density

$$\rho(X) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} e^{-\|X\|^2}. \quad (1)$$

Introducing hyperspherical coordinates, the radial marginal density is

$$p_n(r) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(n/2)} r^{n-1} e^{-r^2}, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

2.2 Shell Dominance

The logarithmic derivative satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dr} \log p_n(r) = \frac{n-1}{r} - 2r. \quad (3)$$

The unique maximum occurs at

$$r_* = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2}}. \quad (4)$$

As n increases, probability mass concentrates on a thin hyperspherical shell of radius r_* . The relative width of this shell scales as $O(n^{-1/2})$, a manifestation of the concentration of measure phenomenon.

3 Projection-Induced Discontinuities

3.1 Observation as Marginalization

Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, with $k < n$, be a smooth surjective map representing observational access. The observed density is

$$\rho_{\text{obs}}(y) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(y)} \rho(X) d\mu. \quad (5)$$

While $\rho(X)$ is smooth, $\rho_{\text{obs}}(y)$ may exhibit non-analytic features due to topological changes in the intersection of $\pi^{-1}(y)$ with dominant radial shells.

3.2 Shell-Crossing Lemma

Lemma. Let $X(t)$ be a smooth trajectory in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|X(t)\|$ crosses r_* . Then the induced observable density under projection $\pi(X(t))$ exhibits a finite discontinuity in its support or derivative.

This provides a geometric explanation for apparent quantum jumps.

4 Axiomatic Reformulation of Quantum Theory

We propose the following axioms.

Axiom I (Ontic State Space)

Physical reality is described by a smooth probability density $\rho(X)$ on \mathbb{R}^N , with $N > 3$.

Axiom II (Shell Dominance)

Radial marginal densities exhibit dominant support on hyperspherical shells determined by stationary points of $\log p(r)$.

Axiom III (Observation)

Measurements correspond to smooth projections from \mathbb{R}^N to \mathbb{R}^3 .

Axiom IV (Apparent Discreteness)

Discrete outcomes arise from topological changes in the intersection of projection fibers with dominant shells.

Axiom V (Dynamics)

Dynamics are governed by smooth flows in \mathbb{R}^N .

5 Hydrogenic Structure from Five Dimensions

5.1 Five-Dimensional Density

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^5$ with density

$$\rho(X) = e^{-\alpha\|X\|^2}. \tag{6}$$

The radial density is

$$p_5(r) \propto r^4 e^{-\alpha r^2}. \tag{7}$$

5.2 Angular Degeneracy and Effective Quantum Numbers

Projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ introduces fiber measures scaling as r^{2l} , yielding

$$p_{k,l}(r) \propto r^{2l+4} e^{-\alpha r^2}. \tag{8}$$

These match the polynomial-Gaussian structure of hydrogenic radial probability densities.

5.3 Energy Scaling

Defining effective energy as

$$E_k \propto -r_k^{-2}, \tag{9}$$

one obtains

$$E_k \sim -\frac{1}{k^2}, \tag{10}$$

recovering the Rydberg scaling without operator quantization.

6 Bell Correlations and Apparent Nonlocality

6.1 Shared Shell Constraint

Let $(X_A, X_B) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy

$$\|X_A\| = \|X_B\|. \tag{11}$$

This constraint is local in \mathbb{R}^N .

6.2 Failure of Bell Factorization

Bell inequalities assume

$$P(a, b|\lambda) = P(a|\lambda)P(b|\lambda). \tag{12}$$

Here, λ corresponds to shell membership, inducing correlations between projection fibers. Consequently, Bell inequalities need not hold, despite local dynamics in \mathbb{R}^N .

7 Escalation Paths

7.1 Bell Inequality Reconstruction

One may explicitly reconstruct CHSH-type inequalities by integrating over shell-conditioned fiber measures, demonstrating systematic violations arising from geometric coupling.

7.2 Relativistic Extension

Lorentz symmetry emerges as an invariance of projection foliations under hyperbolic rotations in extended dimensional space. Time is treated as a projection parameter rather than a fundamental coordinate.

7.3 Path Integral Reformulation

The Feynman path integral is reinterpreted as an integral over shell-preserving trajectories in \mathbb{R}^N , with classical action replaced by geometric shell weight.

7.4 Experimental Falsifiability

Predicted deviations include:

- Shell-width-dependent spectral shifts,
- Projection-angle-dependent interference anomalies,

- Correlation strength limits tied to effective dimensionality.

These effects provide direct experimental tests distinguishing this framework from standard quantum mechanics.

8 Conclusion

Quantum discreteness, probabilistic measurement, and nonlocal correlations emerge naturally from classical probability in higher dimensions under projection. The proposed framework replaces postulated quantum structure with geometric necessity and provides a unified route from microscopic discreteness to macroscopic continuity.

9 Implications for Emergence

9.1 Emergence as Dimensional Reduction

In the present framework, emergence is not treated as an additional principle or heuristic concept, but as a necessary consequence of dimensional reduction under projection. Macroscopic structures, effective laws, and classical behavior arise when smooth high-dimensional probability distributions are marginalized onto lower-dimensional observable subspaces.

Formally, let $\rho(X)$ be a smooth density on \mathbb{R}^N , and let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ represent observational access with $k \ll N$. The emergent description at dimension k is given by

$$\rho_{\text{em}}(y) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(y)} \rho(X) d\mu, \tag{13}$$

which generically possesses fewer symmetries, reduced information content, and effective regularities not present at the fundamental level.

Emergence is therefore identified with information loss under projection, rather than with collective behavior requiring additional ontological assumptions.

9.2 Discrete Emergence from Continuous Ontology

A central result of this work is that discrete phenomena may emerge from fully continuous ontologies. Hyperspherical shell dominance implies that, although $\rho(X)$ is smooth, its effective support is confined to narrow regions in radial coordinates. When projected, these regions induce piecewise-defined observable distributions with sharp transitions.

As a consequence:

- Quantized energy levels emerge from shell selection,
- Apparent state transitions emerge from shell crossings,
- Classical continuity is recovered when shell thickness exceeds observational resolution.

Thus, discreteness is not fundamental but emergent, arising from geometric constraints combined with dimensional restriction.

9.3 Emergence of Classicality

Classical behavior corresponds to the regime in which multiple shells overlap within observational tolerance. Let σ_r denote the effective shell thickness and Δr_{obs} the observational resolution. When

$$\sigma_r \gtrsim \Delta r_{\text{obs}}, \tag{14}$$

shell-induced discontinuities are smoothed out, and observable dynamics become effectively continuous.

This provides a geometric mechanism for the quantum-to-classical transition without invoking decoherence as a fundamental process. Decoherence, in this framework, is reinterpreted as increased dimensional averaging rather than environmental entanglement.

9.4 Emergence of Effective Laws

Effective dynamical laws arise as stable statistical regularities under projection. While the fundamental dynamics in \mathbb{R}^N are governed by smooth flows,

$$\dot{X} = F(X), \tag{15}$$

the induced dynamics in observable space need not preserve locality, linearity, or determinism.

Classical equations of motion, conservation laws, and potentials emerge as coarse-grained invariants of projected shell dynamics. These laws are therefore:

- Context-dependent,
- Resolution-dependent,
- Valid only within restricted dimensional regimes.

This explains both the success and the breakdown of classical theories without requiring their modification at the fundamental level.

9.5 Ontological and Epistemic Emergence

The framework distinguishes between two forms of emergence:

Ontological emergence: arises from genuine dimensional reduction; higher-dimensional degrees of freedom are not accessible even in principle.

Epistemic emergence: arises from practical limitations on resolution, sampling, or projection fidelity.

Quantum phenomena primarily exhibit ontological emergence, whereas classical macroscopic behavior is dominated by epistemic emergence. Both are unified within the same geometric formalism.

9.6 Relation to Reductionism

Although emergent phenomena are derivable from the higher-dimensional description, they are not reducible in the sense of preserving structural equivalence. Projection is many-to-one, and therefore non-invertible. Consequently, emergent laws cannot be elevated to fundamental status without loss of information.

This resolves long-standing tensions between reductionism and emergentism by identifying projection as the precise mathematical operation mediating between levels of description.

9.7 Summary of Emergent Implications

Within this framework:

- Quantum discreteness is emergent, not fundamental,
- Classical continuity is an emergent coarse-grained limit,
- Physical laws are effective descriptions tied to dimensional access,
- Measurement outcomes reflect geometric constraints rather than intrinsic randomness.

Emergence is therefore not an auxiliary concept but a structural necessity arising from the geometry of high-dimensional probability and the limitations of observation.

10 The Gamma Function as a Dimensional Measure Operator

10.1 General Definition

The Gamma function is defined for $\text{Re}(z) > 0$ by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt. \quad (16)$$

While often introduced as an analytic continuation of the factorial, within geometric probability theory the Gamma function plays a more fundamental role: it provides the unique normalization required to render rotationally invariant measures finite in arbitrary (including non-integer) dimensions.

10.2 Gamma Function in Hyperspace

Consider Euclidean hyperspace \mathbb{R}^n equipped with its standard rotational symmetry. The surface area of the unit $(n - 1)$ -sphere is given by

$$S_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}. \quad (17)$$

This expression demonstrates that the Gamma function compensates for the rapid growth of angular degrees of freedom as dimension increases. In this sense, $\Gamma(n/2)$ regulates the measure of hyperspherical shells and ensures the existence of normalized probability densities in high-dimensional spaces.

For an isotropic probability density $\rho(X) = f(\|X\|)$ on \mathbb{R}^n , the associated radial marginal density takes the form

$$p_n(r) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(n/2)} r^{n-1} f(r). \quad (18)$$

Here, the factor r^{n-1} reflects the combinatorial multiplicity of angular configurations, while the Gamma function provides the normalization that balances this multiplicity. Consequently, the Gamma function may be interpreted as encoding the effective density of ontic configurations associated with a given radial shell.

10.3 Shell Entropy and Dimensional Weighting

Within the shell-dominance regime, hyperspherical shells act as statistically preferred regions of configuration space. The Gamma function determines the relative statistical weight of these shells by regulating the total angular measure available at fixed radius. In this sense, it governs the entropy associated with radial degrees of freedom and fixes the scale at which measure concentration occurs.

10.4 Gamma Function Under Dimensional Projection

Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a smooth surjective projection with $k < N$. Under such a projection, angular degrees of freedom associated with the eliminated dimensions are integrated out. The resulting observable density inherits normalization factors involving Gamma functions of reduced dimensionality.

The ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} \tag{19}$$

quantifies the relative loss of angular measure between the ontic and emergent descriptions. This ratio appears implicitly in normalization constants, degeneracy factors, and scaling relations of effective lower-dimensional laws.

10.5 Gamma Function in Metaspace

In the projected observable space (here referred to as metaspace), the Gamma function no longer represents a direct geometric volume but rather a residual imprint of inaccessible dimensions. It encodes how higher-dimensional measure is compressed into lower-dimensional probability densities and thereby determines the effective strength and form of emergent physical laws.

Constants that appear phenomenological or arbitrary at the emergent level may therefore be understood as ratios of Gamma functions associated with distinct dimensional layers of description.

10.6 Role in Emergence

From the perspective of emergence, the Gamma function acts as a structural bridge between levels of description. In hyperspace, it ensures the mathematical consistency of isotropic measures. Under projection, it encodes the information loss inherent in dimensional reduction. In metaspace, it manifests as normalization constants, degeneracy factors, and scaling parameters that shape emergent dynamics.

10.7 Summary

Within the present framework, the Gamma function is not an auxiliary analytic tool but a fundamental operator of dimensional measure. It regulates angular entropy in hyperspace, governs shell dominance, and preserves consistency under projection to lower-dimensional observable spaces. Its appearance in emergent physical laws reflects the geometric and probabilistic structure of dimensional reduction rather than an independent postulate.

11 Gamma Functions, Entropic Curvature, Renormalization, and the Emergence of Time

11.1 Gamma Functions as Entropic Curvature Scalars

Consider an isotropic probability density $\rho(X) = f(\|X\|)$ on \mathbb{R}^n . The angular contribution to the measure at fixed radius r is given by

$$\Omega_n(r) = S_{n-1} r^{n-1} = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} r^{n-1}. \quad (20)$$

Define the radial entropy associated with this angular degeneracy by

$$S_n(r) = \log \Omega_n(r) = (n-1) \log r + \log \left(\frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \right). \quad (21)$$

The second derivative of $S_n(r)$ with respect to r yields

$$\frac{d^2 S_n}{dr^2} = -\frac{n-1}{r^2}, \quad (22)$$

which is strictly negative. This quantity defines an effective *entropic curvature* associated with radial shells.

The Gamma function therefore contributes directly to the additive constant of the entropy and fixes the global curvature scale of configuration space. In this sense, $\Gamma(n/2)$ acts as a scalar encoding the intrinsic entropic curvature of hyperspherical geometry. Higher-dimensional spaces possess larger angular entropy but also stronger curvature-driven concentration, both regulated by the Gamma function.

11.2 Gamma Functions and Renormalization Group Flow

Renormalization may be interpreted as iterative dimensional reduction or coarse-graining. Let $n \rightarrow n - \delta n$ represent an infinitesimal reduction in effective dimensionality under coarse-graining. The change in angular entropy is

$$\delta S = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \log \left(\frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \right) \delta n. \quad (23)$$

Using the digamma function $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$, this becomes

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \pi - \psi \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \right] \delta n. \quad (24)$$

This expression defines a natural renormalization flow equation in dimensional space. Fixed points of this flow correspond to dimensions for which the entropic curvature is stationary under coarse-graining. Thus, the Gamma function governs the renormalization group (RG) trajectory of effective theories through its control of entropy scaling.

Renormalized coupling constants inherit this dependence through normalization factors involving ratios of Gamma functions evaluated at successive effective dimensions.

11.3 Physical Constants as Ratios of Gamma Functions

Let C_n denote an effective constant arising from normalization of a projected theory from \mathbb{R}^N to \mathbb{R}^n . Such constants generically take the form

$$C_n \propto \frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)}. \quad (25)$$

These ratios encode the relative angular measure eliminated under projection and therefore quantify the compression of ontic degrees of freedom into observable ones. Coupling constants, spectral degeneracies, and effective interaction strengths may thus be interpreted as geometric quantities determined by dimensional ratios rather than fundamental parameters.

In this framework, physical constants are emergent invariants of dimensional reduction, fixed by geometry and probability rather than imposed by postulate.

11.4 The Emergence of Time

The framework also addresses the open problem of time. No fundamental time parameter is assumed at the ontic level. Instead, the fundamental description consists of static probability distributions on \mathbb{R}^N .

Time emerges as an ordering parameter associated with monotonic change in entropic curvature under projection or coarse-graining. Let λ denote a parameter indexing families of projections π_λ . Define an effective temporal parameter by

$$t \equiv S_{\text{em}}(\lambda), \quad (26)$$

where S_{em} is the entropy of the emergent distribution.

Dynamics in observable space are therefore reinterpreted as trajectories along gradients of entropic curvature induced by dimensional reduction. The arrow of time corresponds to monotonic increase in projected entropy, while reversibility is recovered only at the ontic level where no projection has occurred.

11.5 Time, Renormalization, and Gamma Flow

Because the Gamma function controls entropy scaling with dimension, it also governs the emergent flow of time. The RG flow parameter and the emergent temporal parameter are identified as the same geometric quantity viewed at different descriptive levels.

Consequently:

- Time is not fundamental but emergent,
- Its direction is fixed by entropic curvature,
- Its rate is determined by Gamma-function-controlled dimensional flow.

11.6 Summary

Within this framework, the Gamma function serves a unified role:

- as an entropic curvature scalar in hyperspace,
- as the generator of renormalization group flow,

- as the geometric origin of effective physical constants,
- and as the regulator of emergent temporal ordering.

The emergence of physical law, scale, and time itself is thus governed by a single mathematical structure arising from dimensional measure and projection.

12 Perturbative Emergence of Relativistic Dynamics at Large Scales

12.1 Ontic Description and Perturbative Regime

Let the ontic state be described by a smooth probability density

$$\rho(X) = \rho_0(\|X\|) + \epsilon \delta\rho(X), \quad (27)$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^N$, ρ_0 is isotropic, $\delta\rho$ is a bounded perturbation, and $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a dimensionless perturbative parameter.

The unperturbed density ρ_0 generates dominant hyperspherical shells via measure concentration. The perturbation $\delta\rho$ encodes weak anisotropies, gradients, or external constraints that become relevant only after projection to lower-dimensional observable space.

12.2 Projection and Effective Spacetime Coordinates

Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ be a projection onto three spatial coordinates and one emergent temporal parameter defined by entropic flow. Observable coordinates are given by

$$x^\mu = \pi^\mu(X), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (28)$$

The induced observable density is

$$\rho_{\text{obs}}(x) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \rho(X) d\mu. \quad (29)$$

At leading order, ρ_{obs} inherits isotropy and homogeneity from ρ_0 . Perturbative corrections arise from $\delta\rho$ and from curvature of the projection fibers.

12.3 Perturbative Expansion of the Entropic Action

Define an effective entropic action functional

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \rho_{\text{obs}}(x) \log \rho_{\text{obs}}(x). \quad (30)$$

Expanding to second order in ϵ yields

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{S}_0 + \epsilon \int d^4x \delta\rho_{\text{obs}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \int d^4x \frac{(\delta\rho_{\text{obs}})^2}{\rho_0} + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (31)$$

The first-order term vanishes by normalization. The second-order term defines an effective quadratic action governing large-scale fluctuations.

12.4 Emergence of Lorentzian Signature

The quadratic term may be written in gradient form:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} \sim \int d^4x g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi, \quad (32)$$

where ϕ parametrizes shell displacements and

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-c^{-2}, 1, 1, 1) \quad (33)$$

emerges from the opposite signs of entropy curvature along the radial (entropic) direction versus transverse spatial directions.

The negative sign associated with the entropic flow direction identifies it as timelike. Lorentzian signature therefore arises as a direct consequence of shell stability and entropic curvature, not as a fundamental spacetime assumption.

12.5 Relativistic Kinematics as a Large-Scale Limit

At scales much larger than the shell thickness, the effective equations of motion derived from $\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ take the form

$$\square \phi = 0, \quad (34)$$

where $\square = g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$ is the d'Alembertian operator.

This reproduces relativistic wave propagation with invariant speed c , where c is fixed by the ratio of entropic curvature along the projection-induced temporal direction to that along spatial directions. Thus, the invariant speed is an emergent geometric quantity determined by dimensional measure, not a postulated constant.

12.6 Perturbative Origin of Gravitational Effects

Spatial variation in shell thickness or entropic curvature induces a position-dependent effective metric:

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(x) = g_0^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon h^{\mu\nu}(x). \quad (35)$$

To leading order, $h^{\mu\nu}$ satisfies linearized field equations derived from the variation of \mathcal{S}_{eff} , reproducing weak-field relativistic gravity. Curvature of spacetime thus corresponds to curvature of entropic shells under projection.

12.7 Interpretation

Relativistic behavior emerges as a large-scale, low-curvature limit of a fundamentally probabilistic and high-dimensional system. The perturbative expansion demonstrates that:

- Lorentz symmetry arises from shell stability and projection geometry,
- The invariant speed is an entropic ratio fixed by dimensional measure,
- Gravitational curvature corresponds to inhomogeneous shell deformation,
- Relativistic spacetime is an emergent effective description, not an ontic structure.

12.8 Summary

Perturbative analysis around isotropic hyperspherical shells yields, at second order, an effective Lorentzian field theory governing large-scale dynamics. Relativistic kinematics and weak-field gravity therefore arise naturally as emergent phenomena induced by entropic curvature and dimensional projection, completing the link between microscopic probability structure and macroscopic space-time physics.

13 Entropic Gravity, Cosmological Expansion, and Lorentz Symmetry Bounds

13.1 Einstein Equations as an Entropic Variational Principle

Let the emergent spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ arise from local variations in shell curvature and entropic density under projection. Define the total effective action as

$$\mathcal{A}[g_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{S}_{\text{ent}}(g_{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{m}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi)), \quad (36)$$

where \mathcal{S}_{ent} is the entropic contribution induced by hyperspherical shell geometry and \mathcal{L}_{m} is the projected matter contribution.

The entropic term is taken to be proportional to the scalar curvature:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{ent}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{\text{eff}}} R, \quad (37)$$

where G_{eff} is an emergent coupling determined by Gamma-function ratios encoding dimensional reduction.

Varying the action with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$\delta\mathcal{A} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_{\text{eff}}T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (38)$$

Thus, the Einstein field equations arise as Euler–Lagrange equations enforcing extremal entropic curvature under projection. Gravitation is therefore interpreted as a macroscopic response of entropic shell geometry to localized distortions induced by matter.

13.2 Cosmological Expansion as Radial Shell Drift

Let $r(t)$ denote the dominant shell radius of the ontic probability distribution as a function of the emergent entropic time parameter t . Define

$$a(t) \equiv \frac{r(t)}{r(t_0)}, \quad (39)$$

where $a(t)$ is identified as the cosmological scale factor.

Shell drift corresponds to slow evolution of the entropy-maximizing radius due to RG flow in effective dimensionality:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\partial r_*}{\partial n} \frac{dn}{dt}. \quad (40)$$

This induces an effective Friedmann-like equation:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 \propto \frac{d^2 S}{dr^2}, \quad (41)$$

where S is the radial entropy.

Accelerated expansion corresponds to regimes in which the entropic curvature decreases with scale, while deceleration corresponds to curvature stabilization. No separate dark energy postulate is required; cosmic expansion is a statistical drift of dominant hyperspherical shells.

13.3 Cosmological Arrow of Time

Because radial entropy increases monotonically with shell radius, the direction of shell drift defines a preferred temporal orientation. Time-reversal symmetry is preserved at the ontic level but broken at the emergent level due to irreversible information loss under projection.

The cosmological arrow of time is therefore identified with monotonic increase of projected entropy rather than with boundary conditions imposed on fundamental dynamics.

13.4 Lorentz Symmetry as an Emergent Fixed Point

Lorentz invariance arises at large scales where shell curvature is approximately uniform. At finite resolution or small scales, residual anisotropies from higher-dimensional geometry introduce corrections to the effective metric:

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (42)$$

where $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$ encodes finite-dimensional effects.

These corrections scale as

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} \sim O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad (43)$$

with N the effective dimensionality of the ontic space.

13.5 Lorentz-Violation Bounds

Observable Lorentz-violating effects are suppressed by Gamma-function-controlled factors:

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} \propto \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma(N/2)}. \quad (44)$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, Lorentz symmetry becomes exact. Finite- N corrections predict:

- energy-dependent propagation speeds at ultra-high energies,
- anisotropic dispersion at extremely small scales,
- deviations from exact relativistic kinematics near shell-crossing thresholds.

These effects are parametrically bounded by dimensional ratios and are therefore naturally small, explaining the empirical success of Lorentz invariance while allowing principled deviations beyond current experimental reach.

13.6 Unification of Scales

Within this framework:

- Quantum discreteness arises from shell dominance,
- Relativistic spacetime emerges from entropic curvature,

- Gravity is an entropic response to shell deformation,
- Cosmology reflects global shell drift,
- Lorentz symmetry is a large-scale fixed point of dimensional RG flow.

All major structural features of observed physics arise from a single mechanism: probabilistic geometry in high dimension under projection.

13.7 Summary

Perturbative analysis around isotropic hyperspherical shells yields relativistic dynamics, gravitational field equations, cosmological expansion, and Lorentz symmetry as emergent large-scale phenomena. Deviations from exact relativistic behavior are suppressed by Gamma-function ratios encoding dimensional reduction, providing both explanatory power and falsifiable predictions.